25X1

				
ppr	SENDER WILL CHE	2004/05/25 NF10E	REPRETINGE	BOTTOM 696FQQ02P00
		CIAL ROUTING		GAC DOC
то	NAME AND	ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1				
2	D/DCI/NIO Room 7E62			
3	Headquart	ers		
4				
5				
6				
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPAI	RE REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOM	MENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETUR	
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNAT	TURE

-0

Remarks:

The minutes from the 19 November Strategic Warning Review Group meeting are attached for your review and comments (if applicable).

General Wilson intends to bring up some of the main points in the minutes at the next USIB meeting in which the Strategic Warning Staff is on the agenda.

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER				
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE			

трргрусці, Ерх Бараде 2004/05/13 ; СІД, ПРР21МООСРВЕТОТАТО 30011-0

Approved For Release 2004/05/13 : CIA-RDP91M00696R000200030011-0

DRAFT 24 November 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Minutes of the Strategic Warning Review Group Meeting of 19 November 1975

1. The first meeting of the Strategic Warning Review Group was held in the Intelligence Community Staff Conference Room (6E0708), Central Intelligence Agency, at 1000 hours, 19 November 1975. The meeting was chaired by Lt. Gen. Samuel V. Wilson, Deputy to the Director of Central Intelligence for the Intelligence Community (D/DCI/IC), who established this Group to review the functioning of the strategic warning process, as stipulated in DCID 1/5. A list of attendees is attached.

A. ISSUING A STRATEGIC WARNING NOTICE

2. The members agreed that the Strategic Warning Staff (SWS) should adhere to existing DCID 1/5 guidelines concerning its authority and areas of substantive responsibility. Recognizing that there could be some duplication of effort between the SWS and the NIOs preceding and during times of crises, all members agreed that the SWS should take the lead in any areas with big "W" warning implications, and the NIOs should be responsible for little "w" warning areas. In those fuzzy, gray areas between little "w" and big "W", some members saw no serious problem with the SWS's producing a Strategic Warning Notice (SWN) at a

time when the NIOs might also be preparing an Alert Memorandum (AM). It would be up to the DCI to decide whether to issue one or both. Other members did envision some problems, but all agreed that the procedures for issuing an SWN, like those established for the AM process, should be flexible, and that the NIOs and the SWS should be in close touch.

3. added that he hoped the SWS would be recognized as an entity in itself and that the NIOs would contact the SWS on activities related to big "W" warning implications.

25X1

- 4. Several other procedural points concerning the issuance of an SWN were discussed:
 - -- the issuance of a negative strategic warning notice, the pros and cons;
 - -- the use of NOIWON, LDX, etc. before and after issuing an SWN:
 - -- soliciting the Community's view concerning a forthcoming SWN through consultation and/or coordination;
 - -- notifying consumers when a crisis has either abated or increased sufficiently to warrant an update;
 - -- using the AM dissemination list as a guide to SWN dissemination;
 - -- educating the consumer, e.g., the NSC Staff and WSAG, on both the SWS and the SWN.

- 0 P P P	

25X1

Approved For Release 2 904/05/13 : CIA RDP91M0069 6R0 562 00030011-0	25X1
5. General Wilson endorsed the mission of the SWS and said we	
should assure that it takes the lead in those areas for which it is	3
responsible. He proposed that and the SWS take the lead	25X1
in preparing a document which would spell out the SWNs.	25X1
C/PRD/ICS, was asked to provide staff assistance and comments. It was	
agreed that, in general, the form and content of this documentwhich	
should ultimately be approved by USIBshould resemble the procedures	·
established for the Alert Memoranda.	
B. SWS ACCESS TO ALL APPROPRIATE INTELLIGENCE	
6indicated that he was not aware of any serious	
access problems said the situation vis-a-vis DDO/CIA has	
improved, but he was still concerned about the US Navy's compartmentation	
of some intelligence. General Wilson suggested that he and discuss the matter with Admiral Inman to see if anything could	25X1
be done about improving SWS access to this intelligence.	
7. Mr. Baraz, State Dept., asked if the SWS was on distribution	•
for material coming through "the Washington-Moscow link." SWS is not,	
though the NMCC probably is. General Wilson suggested that SWS look	
into this and any other areas where it should be on priority distribution	
and is not.	
C. SWS MONTHLY REPORT DISTRIBUTION	
8. There seems to be no problem in this area, even though the	
Monthly is issued only at a high classification level. General Wilson	

25X1

25X1

25X1

*.	Approved For Release 2004/05/13 : CIA-RDP91M00696R060200030011-0	25X1
	suggested that some consumers (e.g., NSC Staff, WHSR, JCS) also be	
	put on distribution. No one objected and General Wilson asked General	
25X1	to plan to do this; General Wilson will inform Mr. Colby.	
		25X1
		•
:	D. STAFFING THE SWS	*
	10. General Wilson expressed his concern about the lack of	
	personnel support from State, Army, and Navy and said he would pursue	
•	this matter further on his own.	
gi.	E. NEWSWEEK QUERY 11. General Wilson provided some guidelines to	25X1
	in answering some thirteen questions that a Newsweek correspondent had	20/(1
25X1	asked about the SWS. said he would have no problem in	
	answering the questions at an unclassified level.	
	F. ADDITIONAL TASKS FOR SWS	
25X1	12indicated that he was considering additional	•
	tasks for the SWS, specifically studies on indicators and warning	
:	doctrine. General Wilson endorsed this approach, promised IC Staff	
	support, but cautioned the SWS not to undertake too much, given its small staff.	
		25X1
•	Executive Secretary, SWRG	
25X1	Attachment at Factor 2004/05/42 CIA PREDITION CONTROL 2004/4 A	
	Attach Approved For Release 2004/05/13 : CIA-RDP9 M00696R000200030011-0	

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt